

A SCIENTIFIC PROOF FOR THE VALIDITY OF THE BIBLE CODES

There have been many attempts to prove the validity of the Bible Codes by various methods. There are still claims and counter claims where methodology is being questioned. In many other cases, one cannot take the Bible code seriously when the codes are not produced to any given standards of methodology, including proper Hebrew grammar, spelling, etc.

Outlined below is the method (and the reports) that the author used to produce many matrices, including those published on the Codes in the Bible website at: <http://ad2004.com/Biblecodes/Hebrewmatrix/Hebmatrix.html> on 29 November 2002 and updated 8 January 2003 (Washington Snipers matrix). The author has not publicly published other extensive matrices based on these principles that relate to family members or clients.

Some authorities believe that in order for the Bible codes to be valid, one must first (guess) make a list of all the terms that one believes should show up in the matrix. This is a good idea, but not always practical. It is good to agree in advance on the proper spelling, and what variations of the spelling are acceptable, etc. What it leaves out is the message that Blessed Coder in heaven wanted to convey. The message, however, is more important than showing off that He knew. To get the message, therefore, the human coder is required by some so-called authorities to be a psychic or a prophet.

I am definitely not a psychic or a prophet; however, with the help of the codes and following established criteria, I was able to get excellent results in my previous article on the Washington Snipers. I also got clear messages. I find it interesting that in each country, the population is educated on how its leaders are righteous; but the evil doers are across the border or across the sea. I find that the Bible (codes) leaves nothing to the imagination. It calls a spade a spade. It outlines even the weakness of the saint. The matrices I developed first confirm known data and facts, and then add their own conclusions clearly.

In my latest matrix, “The Israeli Election” at the above website on 9 January 2003 I again used the same criteria with the same excellent results as in previous matrices. In the latest matrix, there is no wiggle room for spelling, grammar, or omitted terms. Once I verified that the matrix location was correct, I found another set of obvious predetermined terms.

What is very significant is that the terms were all-inclusive as a set. No major piece of the puzzle was missing, nor was there irrelevant information that does not belong there (i.e., the names of the winners are dominant, while the names of the losers do not show up). Another very significant feature is that the selected matrix for the pre-selected terms forms the most compact, statistically significant matrix in the entire Torah.

Outlined below are the rules used by the author to find true Bible Codes:

SOURCE: Look in the Torah.

LOWEST SKIP: Start with the theme term at its lowest skip.

ODDS: Accept only terms at 20:1 odds (R-value = 1.3) or more.

WITNESSES: Have plenty of redundant terms (I call them witnesses).

THE STORY: Let the matrix tell a story.

GRAMMAR: Use proper grammar, spelling, sentence structure, etc.

SURFACE TEXT: Include plenty of terms at skip = 1 (surface text) to confirm that the matrix is at the proper place.

SPECIAL FEATURES: Include some special features such as: terms sharing a letter, mirror image, very long R-values for a few terms, reading the same long term from left to right and right to left and getting two different messages, etc.

What was done for each of the steps above (from Israeli Elections Matrix):

- 1- **SOURCE:** I found my results in Leviticus in the Torah.
- 2- **LOWEST SKIP:** I considered the list below as the vital key words. I was lucky. I could have used the names of all the parties instead of the left-the right-the center and others. On the other hand, I would have needed to consider at least fifteen political parties. Some of the names would be very short. Other names would not make it. I considered that five terms used are more practical.

ממשל	Root of government
מצביע	Root for votes / voter
שלשי	Tuesday spelled with or without YUD as here 25 of Shevat
ה תשס"ג	Year 5,763
ימין	The right

שמאל	The left
מרכז	The center
אחרות	The others
דת	The religion

From the list above, the year is the logical single most important term. I used **התשס"ג** (Sept 2002 to Sept 2003) at its lowest skip for my selected matrix.

- 3- **ODDS:** I was lucky again. The terms that I was looking for were either statistically significant to begin with; were combined together such as RIGHT-RELIGION to become statistically significant; were at their lowest skip (=1); or were attached to a message to become statistically significant. However, there was more to it. The matrix represents the most compact arrangement for these pre-selected (not modified) terms. Those terms are also the most statistically significant in the five possible matrices that were found with **התשס"ג** having a skip between -999 and +999.
- 4- **WITNESSES:** There were plenty of redundant, statistically-significant terms such as the month and day, the RIGHT, CENTER, in a way the SMALL, and other signs for duplicity.
- 5- **THE STORY:** Here is the best part. What a story.
 - a. The RIGHT and LEFT will join to form a government. That was not an obvious thought prior to the elections. It was hoped that it would be a RIGHT-LEFT coalition; A RIGHT-CENTER, but not a narrow RIGHT-RELIGION.
 - b. The left will be sleepy, in fact it will “die”,
 - c. The right is hawkish,
 - d. The center will move, (probably up),
 - e. The other parties will be demolished,
 - f. The left and right will be afflicted (by corruption charges),
 - g. The coalition government will have 65 seats, and
 - h. As more pre-selected terms relating to the leaders and icons of the leading parties were added to the matrix, more stories were told. One of many is that Mr. Shimon Peres is very prominent around this new government. We shall have to wait and see... Other stories will be told later.


- 6- **GRAMMAR:** It is very easy to spot that the ten pre-selected terms are simple, and correct in every way. They are given in every Hebrew newspaper. The extended terms are also grammatically correct.
- 7- **SURFACE TEXT:** Thirty percent of the first set of terms was surface text terms: the day of the week, to the RIGHT and RELIGION parties. In the second set of predetermined terms, there were also a significant number of surface terms.
- 8- **SPECIAL FEATURES:** Some of the special features that were found include:
- a. Many cases of letter-sharing by two or more terms,
 - b. A long R-value term regarding a cover up by the RIGHT, intersecting with a term relating to affliction to the two parties charged with cover-up, intersecting yet with a third term reading “from within you fraud” (meimcha-ramaut at a skip of 485 R-value = 4.0),
 - c. Merging the two terms into one by having the dominant RIGHT followed by the partner RELIGION. This union of the terms manifests itself in the union of the two parties / ideologies,
 - d. Parallel in the structure of the three coalition leader names where a **lamed** (meaning to or for) is preceding each **LAST** name: for Sharon, for (Rabbi) Yosef, and for Eitam.
 - e. Double indication that the RIGHT will win by having the term RIGHT share a letter first with “their government” and in another place with “...instruct the law...”
 - f. Several indications that the Likud / RIGHT is correct: Likud is correct (nachonMachal - Correct Machal) while the LEFT and CENTER share a letter each with the term “tameh” or impure, and...
 - g. Double indications that the LEFT or specifically Labor is going to do badly with the terms: LEFT sleeps (small num) and LABOUR dies (emet met).

The accuracy of the matrix that was developed, with consistent methodology, verifies that the Bible codes definitely exist and have value. All the extensions of pre-established terms tell the same story. All the intersections and hints confirm known facts. I looked for but did not find extensions to terms that oppose the messages received. Anyone can attempt to find such extensions and have them posted next to this article.

Most important in my view, however, is that the signature of all true matrices is HIS signature “EMET” truth. He requires, as is stated in the Bible, two or three witness to carry out justice. **Therefore, any human author conclusions based on one term - no matter what the statistical significance – are not necessarily the message the Almighty is giving us.** For example, the main term (וממשלתם שי סה לו) and their government ...R-value = 9.3) suggests that the RIGHT-RELIGION will get 65 seats. This is strictly my opinion based on **one clue***. See below that the polls during the last week of campaigning agree with the code/term that was found at the beginning of the campaign. On the other hand, there are many messages in the matrix that taken together do tell a story.

* One clue....

וממשלתם שי סה לו and their government, a present (of) 65 seats for him



I do not believe in fortune telling; and I do not consider my findings as fortune telling. I needed many facts to be brought to my attention before I even began. To me, these matrices are only means for us to receive messages. In every matrix that I have invested time in, I found HIS messages along HIS principles. There are some urgent messages in this matrix too. However, if one does not believe, one will not listen.

Conclusion:

The scientific proof is that using the same method, one matrix after another produced the same high quality results. As I became more experienced, I dared putting my results in advance of knowing how the events will turn out. If one were to look at the newspapers at the time of the publishing of the respective matrices, one will see that the events could have easily been switched around if only.... If you are a coder, and you can see where I did not pay attention to any term that may negate my conclusions please advise me and have it published on the web. If you are a professional who cares for the truth, please advise me, where the methodology is flawed if you disagree with the heading of this article. For all the rest, I hope that this will at least help you appreciate the codes, and/or code better.

To contact Moshe Shak, e-mail him at: mshak@videotron.ca